

PROJECT NUMBER: PROJECT NAME: PROJECT MANAGER: DATE SUBMITTED: VALUE OF WORK:		BUILDING NUMBER, NAM ROOM NUMBER(S): CONTRACTOR NAME: TYPE OF CONTRACT:	Е:
	R "EXCEEDS EXPECTATION	NS," THE UCF PROJECT MA	A RANK IS "UNACCEPTABLE," ANAGER MUST PROVIDE AN
QUALITY			
UNACCEPTABLE	BELOW EXPECTATIONS	MET EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
materials and/or products ordered or installed incorrectly; difficulty completing job or punch list items; or final quality substantially below UCF and industry standards	permit documents took multiple submissions for approval; substantial punch list items; or final quality below UCF and industry standards	permit documents approved on first submission, or with minor comment; minor punch list items; and/or final quality met UCF and industry standards	permit documents approved on first submission; no punch list items; quality exceeds UCF and industry standards; and UCF client extremely satisfied with project experience
BUDGET			
UNACCEPTABLE project completed with multiple contractor- initiated change orders; change orders and/or payment applications required multiple reviews	BELOW EXPECTATIONS project completed over budget, with minor contractor- initiated change orders	MET EXPECTATIONS project completed on budget, with no contractor-initiated change orders	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS project completed under budget, with substantial savings returned to the client
to ensure accuracy			
SCHEDULE			
UNACCEPTABLE	BELOW EXPECTATIONS	MET EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
project delivered after established schedule date, which caused serious occupant disruptions; or poor planning of project activities, which caused disruptions to campus operations	project delivered after established schedule date through no fault of owner; or poor planning of project activities	met required project schedule; and/or proactively planned the order of long lead items and project activities and inspections	substantially delivered project ahead of schedule without compromising quality and budget; and/or inspections passed the first time

COMMUNICATION			
UNACCEPTABLE UCF PM was given inaccurate project information; project activities occurred without UCF PM's knowledge; contractor contacted UCF client directly without UCF PM's knowledge and approval; or disrespectful communication with UCF personnel	BELOW EXPECTATIONS UCF PM was NOT copied on all project correspondence; UCF PM was NOT kept informed of project status in a timely manner; or difficulty reaching contractor or getting prompt returned calls	MET EXPECTATIONS UCF PM was copied on all project correspondence; UCF PM was kept informed of project status in a timely manner; and/or contractor was available throughout the project and returned calls promptly	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS all items in MET EXPECTATIONS, plus: proactive communication with UCF PM that caused a tangible benefit to UCF regarding schedule, budget, scope, or quality; contractor recommended solutions to problems as they arose
CLOSEOUT			
UNACCEPTABLE provided incomplete closeout documents; or took over 2 months to provide documents after Certificate of Completion/Certificate of Occupancy (CC/CO)	BELOW EXPECTATIONS provided complete closeout documents but not in the proper format and/or not within 4 weeks of CC/CO	MET EXPECTATIONS provided complete closeout documents in the proper format and within 4 weeks of CC/CO	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS provided complete closeout documents in the proper format and within 2 weeks of CC/CO
COMMENTS:			

ALL EVALUATIONS MUST BE APPROVED AND SIGNED BY THE ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, CONSTRUCTION. ALL EVALUATIONS WITH AN "UNACCEPTABLE" WILL BE APPROVED BY THE DIRECTOR, FACILITIES PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION. EVALUATIONS WILL BE KEPT ON FILE IN A MATRIX FOR EASY REVIEW OF PAST PERFORMANCE AND WILL BE CONSIDERED WHEN AWARDING FUTURE CONTRACTS AND WORK.

PM INITIALS: AD INITIALS: